



DOMAIN	EFFECTIVE PRACTICE	INDICATOR
Instruction	Provide rigorous evidence-based instruction	3B.8 Teachers build students' metacognitive skills in setting learning goals, applying learning strategies, and tracking their mastery.

Explanation: Student self-regulation of mastery has been shown to increase student achievement. For self-regulation of mastery the learner must (a) possess a concept of the standard, (b) compare the current level of performance with the standard, and (c) engage in appropriate action that leads to some closure of the gap (Sadler, 1989). The goal of many instructional systems is to facilitate the transition from teacher feedback to self-monitoring.

Questions: What processes should students use to determine their own mastery of learning tasks? What are the best practices for these processes?

What processes should students use to determine their own mastery of tasks? Student learning, or any learning, requires a set of skills. These skills are outlined in Redding (2014) as including "task definition, goal-setting, active listening, note-taking, strategic reading, organization of content, access to resources, research, questioning, memorization (mnemonics), outlining, practice, analytical thinking, self-monitoring, and test preparation" (p. 9). These skills can be boiled down to what is commonly referred to as study skills. For educators, teaching these skills needs to be embedded in the daily routine as well as intentionally taught.

Goal Setting: Goals are critical for enhancing performance. There is a direct linear relationship between the degree of goal difficulty and performance (Chidester & Grigsby, 1984; Mento, Steel & Karren, 1987; Tubbs, 1986; Worfford, Goodwin & Premack, 1982; Wood, Mento & Locke 1987).

Using Rubrics & Exemplars: Instructional rubrics are standards-referenced tools that provide students with detailed information about what is expected of their work (Andrade, 2000; Andrade & Boulay, 2003). An approach that has proved particularly powerful in clarifying goals and standards has been to provide students with 'exemplars' of performance (Orsmond, Merry & Reiling, 2002). Exemplars are effective because they make explicit what is required, and they define a valid standard against which students can compare their work.

Formative Assessment: Formative assessment (FA) includes those activities that are intended to impact teaching and learning by the feedback that they produce. Unlike summative assessment, which is intended to monitor and provide evaluation for student grades, FA is low-stakes testing that provides information to teachers about how to tailor their instruction based on student needs. In addition, FA helps students recognize the gaps between their performances and the targeted goals. The feedback in FA is derived from a comparison of current progress against desired goals.





It is these comparisons that help the student determine whether current modes of engagement should continue as is, or if some type of change is necessary (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006). Assessment needs to meet the specific and immediate goals of a course as well as establishing a basis for students to undertake their own assessment activities in the future.

Self-Recording: Learners can be taught to evaluate their performances through self-recording, which provides individuals with systematic, often visual, data regarding their performance, which they collect themselves. With those data, learners can evaluate the effects of any instruction or intervention on their own performance. In self-evaluation, the self-monitoring process is followed by an evaluation of the performance, usually with an externally provided criterion, such as a rubric or examplar (Rosenbaum & Drabman, 1979).

It is well known that self-observation can have a reactive effect on the target behavior being self-observed, whereby the behavior changes as a function of the self-observational process itself. This is known as the reactivity of self-monitoring (Barlow, Hayes & Nelson, 1984).

What are the best practices for these processes?

Goal Setting: Achievement is enhanced to the degree that students and teachers set challenging rather than "do your best" goals, relative to the students' present competencies (Chidester & Grigsby, 1984; Guzzo, Jette & Katzell, 1985; Hunter & Schmidt, 1983; Locke & Latham, 1990; Mento, Steel & Karren, 1987; Tubbs, 1986; Wood, Mento & Locke, 1987). Wood, Mento & Locke (1997) found that the performance of students who have the most challenging goals are over 250% higher than the performance of the students with the easiest goals.

Using Rubrics & Exemplars: Rubrics that are used for student self-assessment should include clear definitions of performance levels and describe varying levels of quality, from excellent to poor. All rubrics should include a list of criteria for a task (i.e., how the task will be judged) and gradations of quality, "with descriptions of strong, middling, and problematic student work" (Andrade, 2000). An exemplar is a completed instance of the task on which the student is working. It should emphasize the most challenging aspects of the task, illustrating work that meets the standard described by the rubric.

Formative Assessment: Three features are critical to establishing self-regulation through FA. First is good feedback. According to Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick (2006), good feedback practice:

- helps clarify what good performance is (goals, criteria, expected standards);
- facilitates the development of self-assessment (reflection) in learning;
- delivers high-quality information to students about their learning;
- encourages teacher and peer dialogue around learning;
- encourages positive motivational beliefs and self-esteem;
- provides opportunities to close the gap between current and desired performance;
- provides information to teachers that can be used to help shape teaching.

Second, in FA, a criterion- or standards-based framework is necessary. Without a standards-based framework, learners cannot know whether their achievements are a result of meeting an acceptable standard or simply doing better than other students in the same cohort.

Finally, students should be allowed to use feedback to produce improved work. This gives them, and their teachers, the opportunity to know whether or not the feedback has been effective. (Boud, 2000)

Self-Recording: Students' self-recording of performance in real time is a convenient and effective way to provide immediate feedback to learners (O'Leary & Dubey, 1979). Recording progress toward a goal may be particularly effective when the symbolic marks entered on the records are seen, by the learner, as marks of achievement (Morgan, 1984). Further, self-graphing is one common and effective method of self-monitoring for students. According to Moxley, Lutz, Ahlborn,





Boley, & Armstrong (1995) and Kasper-Ferguson & Moxley (2002) frequent self-graphing provides learners with regular opportunities for positive evaluation of their progress. One of the strengths of graphing is the visual clarification of learning objectives. The graph tells the students how well they have understood what they need to do and what more they need to do to achieve their goals. Visual displays in graphic form make patterns conspicuous and allow inferences to be drawn more easily than a table allows. "Graphs prompt relationships to be seen which would never be noticed in lists or tables" (Moxley, 2007, p. 123). In addition, self-graphing provides a means by which children can learn valuable math skills through graphing itself. Time spent in graphing is not time out from the curriculum, but time spent in specific curriculum skills.

References

- Andrade, H. (2000). Using rubrics to promote thinking and learning. Educational Leadership, 57(5), 13–18.
- Andrade, H., & Boulay, B. (2003). Gender and the role of rubric-referenced self-assessment in learning to write. *Journal of Educational Research*, 97(1), 21–34.
- Barlow, D. H., Hayes, S. C., & Nelson, R. O. (1984). The scientist practitioner: Research and accountability in clinical and educational settings. Pergamon.
- Boud, D. (2000) Sustainable assessment: Rethinking assessment for the learning society. *Studies in Continuing Education*, 22(2), 151–167
- Chidester, T. R., & Grigsby, W. C. (1984). A meta-analysis of the goal setting-performance literature. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 202–206.
- Guzzo, R. A., Jette, R. D., & Katzell, R. A. (1985). The effects of pschyologically based intervention programs on worker productivity: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 38(2), 275–291.
- Hunter, J. E. & Schmidt, F. L. (1983). Quantifying the effects of pschological interventions on employee job performance and workforce productivity. *American Psychologist*, 38(4), 473–478.
- Kasper-Ferguson, S. & Moxley, R. A. (2002). Developing a writing package with student graphing of fluency. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 25, 249–267.
- Locke, E. A. & Latham, G. P. (1990). A theory of goal setting and task performance. Prentice Hall.
- Mento, A. J., Steel, R. P., & Karren, R. J. (1987). A meta-analytic study of the effects of goal setting on task performance: 1966–1984. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 39(1), 52–83.
- Morgan, M. (1984). Reward-induced decrements and increments in intrinsic motivation. Review of Educational Research, 54, 5-30.
- Moxley, R.A. (2007). Graphing in the classroom for improving instruction: From lesson plans to research. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 30(2), 111–126.
- Moxley, R.A., Lutz, P.A., Ahlborn, P., Boley, N., & Armstrong, L. (1995). Self-recorded word counts of free-writing in grades 1–4. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 18, 138–157.
- Nicol, D. J. & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: a model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, *31*(2), 199–218.
- O'Leary, S. G., & Dubey, D. R. (1979). Applications of self- control procedures by children: A review. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 12, 467–485.
- Orsmond, P., Merry, S. & Reiling, K. (2002) The use of formative feedback when using student derived marking criteria in peer and self-assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 27(4), 309–323.
- Redding, S. (2014). *Personal competency: A framework for building students' capacity to learn*. Center on Innovations in Learning, Temple University. www.centeril.org
- Rosenbaum, M. S., & Drabman, R. S. (1979). Self-control training in the classroom: A review and critique. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 12, 467–485.
- Sadler, R.J. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*, 18, 119–144.
- Tubbs, M.E. (1986). Goal setting: A meta-analytic examination of the empirical evidence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 474–483.
- Wofford, J. C., Goodwin, V. L. & Premack, S. (1982). Meta-analysis of the antecedents of personal goal level and of the antecedents and consequences of goal commitment. *Journal of Management*, 18(3), 595–615.
- Wood, R. E., Mento, A. J. & Locke, E. A. (1987). Task complexity as a moderator of goal effects: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 72(3), 416–425.

